



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/669,765	09/24/2003		David J. Jochem	5723-70258	4377
23643	7590	02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES &			NGO, LIEN M		
	TH MERIDIAN IAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/669,765	JOCHEM, DAVID J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LIEN TM NGO	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2003.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/31/03.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/669,765 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is indefinite because it depends on itself.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 13,14 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blomdahl et al. (6,481,589). Blomdahl et al. disclose, in figs. 7 and 8, a closure comprising a cap having a grip support, extrusion holes, and a monolithic compliant member including a cap liner 50A, a grip ring 40A and extrusion posts 60A. The extrusion holes are positioned in an annular upright wall extending from the annular skirt of the cap.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,765 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-6, 11and12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomdahl et al. Although Blomdahl et al. do not disclose the extrusion holes being disposed in the annular lateral wall extending away form the perimeter edge of the cap top wall, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the extrusion holes in the Blomdahl cap to be disposed in the annular lateral wall extending away form the perimeter edge of the cap top wall in order the monolithic compliant extending through the extrusion holes via the extrusion post to tether the cap liner to the grip ring and retain the monolithic compliant member to the cap, since applicant has not disclosed that the extrusion holes being disposed in the annular lateral wall extending away form the perimeter edge of the cap top wall solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the extrusion holes are positioned in an annular upright wall extending from the annular skirt of the cap.
- 7. Claims 15-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomdahl et al. in view of Daenen et al. (5,868,273). Blomdahl et al. do not disclose the cap liner including a mount and a sealing ring.

Daenen et al. teach a cap liner including a mount and a sealing ring.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,765 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Blomdahl cap liner including a mount and a sealing ring, as taught by Daenen, in order to enhance the sealing of the cap.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

-mmm

February 17, 2005

LIEN M. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER